This article was downloaded by: On: 28 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



## Physics and Chemistry of Liquids

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713646857

## Partial Molar and Ultrasonic Properties of Benzoic and Phenyl Acetic Acids in Water and Water Alcohol Mixtures

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, Utkal University, Bhubaneswar, India

To cite this Article Dash, U. N. and Mohanty, B. K.(1999) 'Partial Molar and Ultrasonic Properties of Benzoic and Phenyl Acetic Acids in Water and Water Alcohol Mixtures', Physics and Chemistry of Liquids, 37: 4, 443 – 453 To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/00319109908031448

URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00319109908031448

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

*Phys. Chem. Liq.*, 1999, Vol. 37, pp. 443-453 Reprints available directly from the publisher Photocopying permitted by license only

# PARTIAL MOLAR AND ULTRASONIC PROPERTIES OF BENZOIC AND PHENYL ACETIC ACIDS IN WATER AND WATER + ALCOHOL MIXTURES

## U. N. DASH\* and B. K. MOHANTY

Department of Chemistry, Utkal University, Vani Vihar, Bhubaneswar - 751004, India

(Received 23 December 1997)

Partial molar volumes of Benzoic and Phenylacetic acids have been determined in water and water + alcohol (5 wt% each of methanol, ethanol and propanol) mixtures from density measurements in varying ranges of concentration at four different temperatures in the interval of 10° from 288.15 to 318.15 K. The density data have been used to obtain partial molar volumes, co-efficients of thermal expansion and partial molar expansibilities of the acids in these solvents. Studies on sound velocity have been made in aqueous and aqueous alchohol solutions of these two acids to evaluate various acoustic parameters at 303.15 K. The solvation numbers of these acids have been determined in these solvents. The results are discussed in terms of ion – ion and ion-solvent interactions and of structural effects on the solvent in solution.

Keywords: Partial molar properties; acoustic parameters; aqueous alcohol solutions

## **INTRODUCTION**

In continuation of our studies on the various aspects of solvation of solutes [1-4] we now report the partial molar properties of benzoic and phenylacetic acids in water and water + 5 wt% each of methanol, ethanol and propanol solutions at four different temperatures at an interval of 10° from 288.15 K to 318.15 K. The apparent molar

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

isentropic compressibilities  $(K_{s,\phi})$  of these two acids in aqueous and aqueous alcohol solutions have been determined at 303.15 K from sound velocity measurements. The limiting apparent molar adiabatic compressibilities  $(K_{s,\phi}^o)$  and solvation numbers  $(S_n^o)$  have been determined for benzoic and phenylacetic acids and the contribution of  $-CH_2$  — group towards these values has been discussed in various water + alcohol mixtures.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Benzoic (E Merck) and phenylacetic acids (BDH, AnalaR) were kept in a vacuum desiccator over anhydrous calcium chloride until required. Conductivity water (sp. cond.  $\approx 10^{-6} \text{ s cm}^{-1}$ ) was used for preparing water + 5 wt% each of methanol, ethanol and propanol mixtures. The alcohol content in the mixed solvents was accurate to within  $\pm 0.01\%$ . The solutions of the acids in water and water + alcohol mixtures were prepared by weight. The densities were determined pycnometrically [5] (uncertainty,  $\pm 1 \times 10^{-2} \text{ kgm}^{-3}$ ). The density measurements were made in a water bath whose temperature was controlled to  $\pm 0.05 \text{ K}$ .

Sound velocities of the acid solutions were measured with a multifrequency ultrasonic interferometer (M-84, Mittal Enterprises, New Delhi) at a frequency of 3 MHz at  $303.15 \pm 0.05 \text{ K}$ . The uncertainty in sound velocity was within  $\pm 0.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ .

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The densities, d of the acid solutions determined in the solvents concerned were used to convert molalities, into molarities, c of the acid solutions by the usual relation [6]. The apparent molar volume,  $\phi_v$  of the acids was calculated by using the relation [7]

$$\phi_{v} = 1000(c \, d_{o})^{-1} \, (d_{o} - d) + M_{2} \, d_{o}^{-1} \tag{1}$$

where  $d_o$  is the density of the solvent, and  $M_2$  is the molecular weight of the acid concerned. The  $\phi_v$  data were fitted by a method of least squares to Masson equation

$$\phi_{\rm r} = \phi_{\rm r}^o + S_{\rm r} \, c^{1/2} \tag{2}$$

to obtain  $\phi_{\nu}^{o}$  and  $S_{\nu}$ .

The apparent molar expansibility  $\phi_E$  of the acids was calculated using the relation

$$\phi_E = \alpha_o \, \phi_v + (\alpha - \alpha_o) \frac{1000}{c} \tag{3}$$

The  $\phi_E$  data were also fitted by a method of least squares to an equation of the form,

$$\phi_E = \phi_E^o + S_E \, c^{1/2} \tag{4}$$

 $\alpha_o$  and  $\alpha$  are the co-efficients of thermal expansion of solvent and solution, respectively. The values of  $\phi_v^o$ ,  $S_v$ ,  $\phi_E^o$  and  $S_E$  are presented in Table I at different temperatures.

The positive values of  $S_v$  for the acids in all solvents at the experimental temperatures indicate the presence of ion – ion interaction varying with change of temperature and acid. The  $\phi_v^o$  values are also positive for the acids in all solvents at the four temperatures indicating the presence of ion-solvent interaction at infinite dilution (as the ion – ion interaction vanishes at infinite dilution). As observed  $\phi_v^o$  increased with increase of temperature. The temperature dependence of  $\phi_v^o$  for the acids in various solvents can be represented as,

$$\phi_{v}^{o} = a + bT \tag{5}$$

over the temperature range 288.15–318.15 K, where *a* and *b* are the constants and given in Table II. The  $\phi_{\nu}^{o}$  values of the acids in various solvents follow the order: water > 5 wt% methanol > 5 wt% ethanol > 5 wt% propanol. As the  $\phi_{\nu}^{o}$  is a measure of ion-solvent interaction, the higher  $\phi_{\nu}^{o}$  value in water indicates that ion-solvent interaction is more in aqueous medium than in aqueous alcohol media, and the ion-solvent interaction decreases with increase in — CH<sub>2</sub> — group in the co-solvent, alcohol. In other words, hydrophobic solvation predominates over the hydrophobic (*i.e.*, — CH<sub>2</sub> —) group

wt% alcohol	Temp./K	$10^3 \phi^o_{\nu}$	$10^5 S_v$	$10^5 \phi_E^o$	$10^7 S_E$
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
		-	Benzoic acid		
0.00	288.15	69.46(7)	48.74(5)	21.24(4)	8.13(1)
	298.15	71.41(5)	51.26(5)	21.33(4)	7.98(1)
	308.15	73.48(8)	52.01(6)	21.46(3)	8.02(1)
	318.15	75.60(8)	52.43(5)	21.54(4)	6.77(1)
5 wt% methanol	288.15	63.41(6)	51.39(4)	21.43(3)	7.84(1)
	298.15	65.43(7)	52.45(5)	21.36(4)	8.05(1)
	308.15	67.52(7)	52.93(5)	21.70(4)	8.02(1)
	318.15	68.9(7)	53.73(5)	21.86(4)	8.13(1)
5 wt% ethanol	288.15	61.34(7)	52.09(4)	17.91(3)	8.36(1)
	298.15	63.35(6)	53.08(4)	18.03(3)	8.51(1)
	308.15	65.61(6)	53.37(5)	18.16(4)	8.47(1)
	318.15	66.52(7)	53.52(5)	18.26(3)	8.40(1)
5 wt% propanol	288.15	59.31(5)	52.07(5)	17.56(3)	8.46(1)
	298.15	61.33(7)	52.40(5)	17.78(3)	8.48(1)
	308.15	63.40(6)	52.82(5)	17.99(3)	8.45(1)
	318.15	64.40(6)	54.11(6)	18.21(4)	8.53(1)
		F	Phenylacetic ac	id	
0.00	288.15	79.08(7)	54.41(6)	21.33(4)	8.32(1)
	298.15	81.70(7)	50.72(5)	21.43(4)	7.93(1)
	308.15	83.58(8)	50.67(6)	21.64(4)	7.75(1)
	318.15	85.72(7)	51.33(6)	21.78(4)	7.77(1)
5 wt% methanol	288.15	72.48(7)	50.98(6)	21.63(4)	7.86(1)
	298.15	74.59(7)	50.07(6)	21.76(4)	8.09(1)
	308.15	76.64(8)	51.66(6)	21.89(4)	7.78(1)
	318.15	78.78(7)	52.36(5)	22.06(4)	7.81(1)
5 wt% ethanol	288.15	70.44(6)	50.78(6)	18.10(3)	8.17(1)
	298.15	72.50(7)	51.13(6)	18.22(4)	8.18(1)
	308.15	74.58(7)	51.69(7)	18.34(3)	8.18(1)
	318.15	76.71(7)	51.93(6)	18.49(3)	8.17(1)
5 wt% propanol	288.15	68.41(7)	50.66(6)	17.76(3)	8.71(1)
	298.15	70.12(8)	53.34(5)	17.94(3)	8.04(1)
	308.15	72.28(8)	54.62(5)	18.18(3)	8.25(1)
	318.15	74.49(8)	55.49(6)	18.42(4)	8.25(1)

TABLE I Values of  $\phi_{\nu}^{o}$  (m<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>),  $S_{\nu}$  (m<sup>9/2</sup> mol<sup>-3/2</sup>),  $\phi_{E}^{o}$  (m<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>) and  $S_{E}$  (m<sup>9/2</sup> mol<sup>-3/2</sup>) for benzoic and phenylacetic acids in water and water + alcohol ( 5 wt%, each of methanol, ethanol and propanol) mixtures

The figures in parentheses are the standard deviations in the order of  $10^{-2}$ .

increases in the homologous alcohol. The higher  $\phi_{\nu}^{o}$  values of phenylacetic acid in all solvents as compared to those of benzoic acid may be attributed to the greater hydrophilic character of phenylacetic acid.

The  $\phi_{\nu}^{o}$  (Tab. I) increases with increase in temperature indicating the presence of caging or packing effect [8]. As is seen the  $\phi_{E}^{o}$  values of the acids at any temperature follow the order: water < 5 wt% metha-

wt% alcohol	$10^{3} a$	$10^4 b$	$10^{5} a'$	$10^7 b'$
		Benzo	nic acid	
0	10.37(3)	2.04(2)	18.27(4)	1.03(1)
5 wt% methanol	10.12(2)	2.00(2)	17.30(4)	1.43(2)
5 wt% ethanol	10.20(3)	1.78(2)	14.51(3)	1.18(2)
5 wt% propanol	9.44(2)	1.73(2)	11.34(2)	2.16(3)
		Phenyla	cetic acid	
0	16.33(4)	2.18(2)	16.81(3)	1.56(2)
5 wt% methanol	15.06(4)	2.01(2)	17.53(3)	1.42(2)
5 wt% ethanol	10.22(4)	2.09(3)	14.37(2)	1.29(2)
5 wt% propanol	9.48(3)	2.04(3)	11.34(2)	2.22(4)

TABLE II Values of constants a and b of Eq. (5) and a', b' of Eq. (6) for benzoic and phenylacetic acids in water and water + alcohol mixtures

The figures in parentheses are the standard deviations in the order of  $10^{-2}$ .

nol < 5 wt% ethanol < 5 wt% propanol, which is reverse to that of the  $\phi_v^o$  values.

The temperature dependence of  $\phi_E^o$  for the acids in various solvents can be represented as

$$\phi_F^o = a' + b' T \tag{6}$$

over the temperature range 288.15 to 318.15 K, where a' and b' are the constants and given in Table II. The sound velocity, U is related to the density, d of the solution and isentropic compressibility,  $K_s$  by the relation

$$U = (K_s d)^{-1/2} \tag{7}$$

The values of  $K_s$  obtained for solutions of different concentrations can be fitted to an equation of the form

$$K_s = K_s^o + A'c + B'c^{3/2} + C'c^2$$
(8)

where A', B' and C' are constants. The values of  $K_s^o$  and the constants are given in Table III. Typical plots of  $(K_s - K_s^o)/c vs c^{1/2}$  are shown in Figure 1.

The apparent isentropic molar compressibility,  $K_{s,\phi}$  of the acid solution in different concentrations has been computed using the

TABLE III The contrast terms that the contrast term of the contrast term of the contrast terms of terms	onstants A', B', C ures at 303.15 K	' of Eq. (8), ar	nd A", B" of Eq. (1	0), $K^{o}_{s,\phi}({ m Pa}^{-1})$ and	ad $S_n^o$ of benzoic :	and phenylacetic ac	ids in water and
Acid	$A' \times 10^{14}$	$B' \times 10^{14}$	$C' \times 10^{14}$	$A'' \times 10^{11}$	$B'' \times 10^{11}$	$K^{o}_{s,\phi}  imes 10^{11}$	S <sup>o</sup> S
			(water, $U_o = 1509$	$.2 \text{ m sec}^{-1}$ , and K	$a_{s}^{o} = 44.09  \mathrm{Pa}^{-1}$		
Benzoic acid	-56.21(3)	12.30(2)	-0.839(1)	12.31(2)	-0.837(2)	52.97(4)	41.89
Phenylacetic acid	-74.06(4)	16.75(3)	-1.153(2)	16.42(1)	-1.155(2)	-69.82(5)	53.63
		-	(5 wt% methanol, U <sub>o</sub>	$= 1532.6 \text{ m sec}^{-1}$	$K_s^0 = 43.15 \mathrm{Pa}^{-1}$ )		
Benzoic acid	-51.14(3)	10.85(2)	-0.734(1)	10.85(2)	-0.732(1)	-48.22(4)	38.95
Phenylacetic acid	-68.28(5)	15.29(4)	-1.045(2)	14.67(3)	-0.985(2)	-63.85(5)	49.90
			$(5 wt\% ethanol, U_o =$	$= 1544.6 \text{ m sec}^{-1}$ ,	$K_s^o = 42.49  \mathrm{Pa}^{-1}$ )		
Benzoic acid	-49.06(3)	10.48(2)	-0.719(1)	10.47(2)	-0.718(1)	-46.23(4)	37.15
Phenylacetic acid	-64.31(4)	14.36(3)	-0.983(1)	14.36(3)	-0.981(1)	-61.13(5)	47.34
			(5 wt% propanol, U <sub>o</sub>	$= 1552.6 \text{ m sec}^{-1}$	$K_s^o = 42.03  \mathrm{Pa}^{-1}$		
Benzoic acid	-47.67(3)	10.24(2)	-0.700(1)	10.22(2)	-0.696(1)	-44.95(4)	36.23
Phenylacetic acid	-60.30(4)	13.58(2)	-0.942(2)	13.27(3)	-0.909(2)	-56.52(5)	44.14

The figures in parentheses are the standard deviations in the order of 10  $^{-2}$ 



FIGURE 1 Plot of  $K_s - K_s^o/c vs c^{1/2}$  (1. benzoic acid and 2. phenylacetic acid) in water at 303.15 K.

relation,

$$K_{s,\phi} = 1000 \, K_s c^{-1} - K_s^{o} \, d_0^{-1} (1000 \, c^{-1} d - M_2) \tag{9}$$

The  $K_{s,\phi}$  data can be fitted to an equation of the form

$$K_{s,\phi} = K^{o}_{s,\phi} + A'' c^{1/2} + B'' c$$
(10)

to obtain  $K_{s,\phi}^o$  (the limiting apparent isentropic molar compressibility of the acid). The values of constants A'' and B'' alongwith  $K_{s,\phi}^o$  are given in Table III. Typical plots of  $K_{s,\phi}$  vs  $c^{1/2}$  are shown in Figure 2.

The molar sound velocity, R, and the specific acoustic impedance Z, have been determined from the sound velocity and density of the solutions by the following equations.

$$R = \overline{M} \, d^{-1} \, U^{1/3} \tag{11}$$

and Z = Ud respectively.



FIGURE 2 Plot of  $K^o_{s,\phi}$  vs  $c^{1/2}$  (1. benzoic acid and 2. phenylacetic acid) in 5 wt% methanol at 303.15 K.

Where  $\overline{M}$  is the average molecular weight of the solution, and is given by  $\overline{M} = \Sigma(n_1 m_1/n_1)$ . The values of R and Z are plotted against the square root of molar concentration of the acids in Figures 3 and 4, respectively.

The solvation number,  $S_n$  of a solute related to the isentropic compressibility,  $K_s$  by Eq. (12)

$$S_n = n_1 n_2^{-1} [1 - V K_s (n_1 V_1^o K_s^o)^{-1}]$$
(12)

where V is the volume of the solution containing  $n_2$  moles of solute (acid),  $V_1^o$  is the molar volume of the solvent, and  $n_1$  is the number of moles of the solvent. The variation of the solvation number with the molar concentration of the acid leads to the limiting solvation number,  $S_n^o$  and can be obtained from the relationship

$$\lim_{c \to o} K_{s,\phi} = -S_n^o V_1^o K_s^o$$
(13)

The values of  $S_n^o$  are presented in Table III.

As expected, the sound velocity increases with increase in concentrations of the solutions in all solvents and are in the order:

451



FIGURE 3 Plot of  $R vs c^{1/2}$  of benzoic acid (1. water, 2. 5 wt% methanol, 3. 5 wt% ethanol and 4. 5 wt% propanol) at 303.15 K.

water < 5 wt% methanol < 5 wt% ethanol < 5 wt% propanol. The sound velocity increases with increase of molecular weight of the acid.

The isentropic compressibility,  $K_s$  decreases with the concentration of the solution. It follows that the isentropic compressibility of the solvation layer is smaller than that of the solvent in bulk. The decrease in compressibility may be due to the fact that the interstitial spaces of water molecules are filled up by the organic co-solvent, alcohol molecules.

As observed the values of  $K_s$  for any acid solutions are in the order: water > 5 wt% methanol > 5 wt% ethanol > 5 wt% propanol. This may be owing to the ions (produced from the acids in solution) and water molecules in immediate contact in the mixed solvents are incompressible due to filled up interstitial spaces of water molecules by the organic co-solvent molecules.

It is found that the apparent isentropic molar compressibility,  $K_{s,\phi}$  increases with increase of solution concentration. The negative  $K_{s,\phi}^{o}$  values [9, 10] may be explained in terms of two different phenomena *viz.*, electrostriction and hydrophobic solvation. The loss of compres-



FIGURE 4 Plot of Z vs  $c^{1/2}$  of phenylacetic acid (1. water, 2. 5 wt% methanol, 3. 5 wt% ethanol and 4. 5 wt% propanol) at 303.15 K.

sibility of the surrounding solvent molecules due to strong electrostrictive forces at the anionic end causes electrostrictive solvation. However, for the case of phenylacetic acid the hydrophobic solvation predominates over the electrostrictive solvation because of the greater size of the hydrophobic moieties of the acid molecules. As observed, the  $K_{s,\phi}^o$  value decreases with increasing number of *C*-atom in the organic co-solvent, alcohols indicating the increased electrostrictive solvation of anions.

compared to that for benzoic acid in all solvents maybe due to the greater hydrophobic solvation provided by phenylacetic acid containing the large sized non-polar moiety. A perusal of Table III shows that the solvation number,  $S_n^o$  of both the acids is larger in water than in water + alcohol solvents and decreases with increase in — CH<sub>2</sub> — groups in the homologous organic co-solvent alcohols. It means that the solvation layer formed around the anion is thick and/or hard in water medium while that in mixed solvents is thin and/or loose.

#### References

- [1] Dash, U. N. and Mohanty, B. K. (1996). Indian J. Chem., 35A, 188.
- [2] Dash, U. N. and Mohanty, B. K. (1996). Indian J. Chem., 35A, 1047.
- [3] Dash, U. N., Pasupalak, N. N. and Mohanta, S. (1996). Indian J. Chem., 35A, 463.
- [4] Dash, U. N. and Mohanty, B. K. (1997). Physics and Chemistry of Liquids (PCL-246).
- [5] Bruno, P. and Della Monica, M. (1972). J. Phys. Chem., 76, 3034.
- [6] Robinson, R. A. and Stokes, R. H. (1955). Electrolyte Solutions (Butter Worths Scientific Publication, London) 30.
- [7] Harned, H. S. and Owen, B. B. (1958). The Physical Chemistry of Electrolytic Solutions, 3rd Edn. (Reinhold Publishing Corporation, New York), 358.
- [8] Dack, M. R. (1975). J. Chem. Soc. Rev., 4, 211.
- [9] Bhowmik, S. and Mohanty, R. K. (1986). Indian J. Chem., 25A, 416.
- [10] Kaulgud, M. V. and Rao, K. S. M. (1988). Indian J. Chem., 27A, 12.